

# An odd pair of axioms bring semigroups fairly near to the group

Yoshiaki UENO\*

It is well-known that a semigroup is a group if it has a right-identity and right-inverses. A question was raised by H. Suzuki as to whether it is the case if a semigroup has a *right*-identity and *left*-inverses. The present note shows that although it is impossible to exclude oddities, these oddities can be expelled into a plain direct-product factor.

## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that a semigroup  $S$  is a group if it satisfies the two additional axioms, namely the existence of a right-identity  $e$  and that of right-inverses w.r.t.  $e$ . In this paper we postulate the existence of a *right*-identity  $e$  and that of *left*-inverses w.r.t. *each* right-identity  $e$ , and claim that under these axioms emerge semigroups which are just the direct product of the semigroup with the “*right-forgetting*” binary operation and a group.

The author would like to acknowledge Haruo Suzuki for his giving me the opportunity to consider this problem and his useful comments.

## 2. The definition and result

For a semigroup  $S$ , we put  $E := \{e \in S; \forall x \in S, xe = x\}$ , and call  $S$  a *right-left semigroup* (abbrev. *RL-semigroup*) if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1)  $E \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $\forall x \in S, \forall e \in E, \exists y \in S; yx = e$ .

Examples:

- 1) A group  $G$  is an RL-semigroup.
- 2) Any set  $E (\neq \emptyset)$  equipped with the operation

$$xy = x \quad (\forall x, y \in E)$$

is an RL-semigroup.

- 3) If  $S$  and  $T$  are RL-semigroups, so is the direct product semigroup  $S \times T$ .

Our goal is to show that by these examples are exhausted all RL-semigroups, namely,

*Theorem. If  $S$  is an RL-semigroup, then it is isomorphic to the direct product of a semigroup  $E$  with the operation given in the example 2) and a group  $G$ .*

## 3. Proof

First we make a list of a few properties of RL-semigroups which are deduced straightforwardly from the axioms (1) and (2).

- (3)  $\forall x \in S, \exists y \in S; yx \in E$
- (4) if  $xy \in E$  then  $yx \in E$
- (5)  $\forall x \in S, \exists y \in S; xy \in E$
- (6) if  $ax = bx$ , then  $a = b$
- (7) if  $e, f \in E$ , then  $ef \in E$

Property (3) follows from (2). To prove (4), let  $z$  be an element such that  $zx \in E$ . Then for any  $u \in S$ , we have

$$u(yx) = u(zx)(yx) = (uz)(xy)x$$

---

\* Lecturer, Division of mathematics, General education  
(Received Sep. 24, 1987)

$$=(uz)x=u(zx)=u.$$

Property (5) is obvious from (3) and (4), and (6) is straight from (5). (7) follows from the definition of  $E$ . Note that thus  $E$  is a sub-semigroup of  $S$ .

Definition. A relation  $\sim$  on  $S$  is defined by that  $x \sim y$  iff  $x=ey$  for some  $e \in E$ .

We claim:

$$(8) \quad x \sim x$$

$$(9) \quad \text{if } x \sim y, \text{ then } y \sim x$$

$$(10) \quad \text{if } x \sim y, y \sim z, \text{ then } x \sim z$$

Property (8) follows from (3) and (4); (10) from (7). To prove (9), we let  $x=ey$  ( $e \in E$ ), and let  $z$  be an element such that  $yz \in E$ . Then,

$$xz=(ey)x=e(yz)=e \in E$$

so that  $zx \in E$  by (4), and

$$y=y(zx)=(yz)x,$$

which shows that  $y \sim x$ . Thus  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, and we put  $G=S/\sim := \{[x]; x \in S\}$ , the set of equivalence classes  $[x] := \{y \in S; y \sim x\}$ .

The following three properties are trivial by definition:

$$(11) \quad \text{if } e, f \in E, \text{ then } e \sim f$$

(12) more generally, if  $e, f \in E$  and  $x \in S$ , then  $ex \sim fx$

$$(13) \quad \text{if } x \sim u \text{ and } y \sim v, \text{ then } xy \sim uv$$

Definition. We define an operation on the set  $G$  by putting

$$[x][y] := [xy],$$

for all  $[x], [y] \in G$ . That this operation is well-defined is guaranteed by the property (13) above.

Further, we claim:

$$(14) \quad ([x][y])[z] = [x]([y][z])$$

$$(15) \quad \text{if } x \sim e \text{ and } e \in E, \text{ then } x \in E$$

(16)  $E$  forms one equivalence class, that is  $E \in G$ .

Property (14) is trivial. (15) follows from (7); (16) from (11) and (15). We write  $\varepsilon = E$  when  $E$  is seen as an element of  $G$ .

$$(17) \quad \forall \xi \in G, \varepsilon \xi = \xi \varepsilon = \xi$$

$$(18) \quad \forall \xi \in G, \exists \eta \in G; \xi \eta = \eta \xi = \varepsilon$$

Now, (17) follows by definition; (18) from (3) and (4). Thus, together with (14),  $G$  is a group with elements  $[x]$ , where

$$(19) \quad [x] = \{ex; e \in E\} \quad (x \in S).$$

We have from (6) that

$$(20) \quad \text{if } e, f \in E \text{ and } e \neq f, \text{ then } ex \neq fx.$$

These properties (19) and (20) show that

(21) for each  $x \in S$ , the mapping  $E \rightarrow [x]$ ,  $e \mapsto ex$ , is bijective.

Now we choose for every  $\xi$  in  $G$  an element  $x_\xi$  of  $S$  such that  $\xi = [x_\xi]$  (using the axiom of choice), and construct a mapping  $\varphi: E \times G \rightarrow S$  by putting  $\varphi(e, \xi) = ex_\xi$ . Note that  $[\varphi(e, \xi)] = \xi$ , so that by (21)  $\varphi$  is also bijective.

Finally, to conclude the proof of the theorem, we claim:

$$(22) \quad \varphi \text{ is a semigroup isomorphism.}$$

It suffices to show that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Let  $(e, \xi)$  and  $(f, \eta)$  be two elements of  $E \times G$ . Then we have

$$\varphi((e, \xi)(f, \eta)) = \varphi(e, \xi \eta) = ef x_{\xi \eta} = ex_\xi x_\eta;$$

$$\varphi(e, \xi) \varphi(f, \eta) = ex_\xi f x_\eta = ex_\xi x_\eta.$$

But since  $[x_\xi x_\eta] = [x_\xi][x_\eta] = \xi \eta = [x_{\xi \eta}]$ ,  $x_\xi x_\eta = dx_{\xi \eta}$  for some  $d \in E$ . Thus  $ex_\xi x_\eta = ed x_{\xi \eta} = ex_{\xi \eta}$ .

□

Corollary. The decomposition  $S \simeq E \times G$  is unique up to isomorphism.

## Reference

Zassenhaus, The theory of groups, Chelsea, 1949.